GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
ABSTRACT

PUBLIC SERVICES- HOME DEPARTMENT – Initiation of Disciplinary proceedings against Sri T.T.Prabhakar Babu, (f) SDPO, Tirumala, now Addl. SP(Civil) on leave - Departmental action under Rule 20 of the APCS(CC&A) Rules, 1991 – Article of charge – issued.

==========

HOME (SERVICES-I) DEPARTMENT

G.O.RT.No. 334 **Dated: 21-02-2025**

Read:-

From the Director General of Police, AP, Mangalagiri,
Lr.Rc.No.102/O2/2023,Dt:09.10.2024

* * * *

ORDER:

In the reference read above, the Director General of Police, Andhra Pradesh, Mangalagiri has requested to initiate disciplinary action under Rule 20 of the APCS(CC&A) Rules, 1991 against Sri T.T. Prabhakar Babu, (f) SDPO, Tirumala, now Addl. SP(Civil) on leave for the allegation of during his tenure as SDPO, Tirumala, he has exhibited gross misconduct after misusing his official position and he has also shown indecent behavior, thereby contravening Rule 3 of APCS (Conduct) Rules, 1964.

2. The substance of the imputations of misconduct or misbehavior in respect of which the inquiry is proposed to be held is set out in the enclosed statement of Article of charge (Annexure I). A statement of the imputations of misconduct or misbehavior in support of each articles of charge is enclosed (Annexure – II). A list of documents by which, and a list of witnesses by whom, the article of charges are proposed to be sustained are also enclosed (Annexure III and IV).

3. Sri T.T. Prabhakar Babu, (f) SDPO, Tirumala, now Addl. SP(Civil) on leave is directed to submit within 15 days of the receipt of this memorandum a written statement of his defense and also to state whether be desired to be heard in person.

4. Sri T.T. Prabhakar Babu, (f) SDPO, Tirumala, now Addl. SP(Civil) on leave is informed that an inquiry will be held only in respect of those Articles of Charge are not admitted. He should therefore specifically admit or deny each Articles of Charge.

5. Sri T.T. Prabhakar Babu, (f) SDPO, Tirumala, now Addl. SP(Civil) on leave is further informed that if he does not submit his written statement of defense on or before the date of specified in Para 3 above, further action will be processed based on the material available.

(P.T.O)

6. Attention of Sri T.T. Prabhakar Babu, (f) SDPO, Tirumala, now Addl. SP(Civil) on leave is invited to Rule 24 of the Andhra Pradesh Civil Service (conduct) Rules 1964 and under which no Govt. Servant shall bring or attempt to bring any extraneous influence to bear upon any authority for the furtherance of his interests. If any representation is received in his behalf from another person in respect of any matter dealt with in these proceedings, it will be presumed that Sri T.T. Prabhakar Babu, (f) SDPO, Tirumala, now Addl. SP(Civil) on leave is aware of such a representation and that it has been made at his instance and action will be taken against him for violating of rule 24 of the Andhra Pradesh Civil Services (Conduct) Rules, 1964. The receipt of the Government order may be acknowledged.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

KUMAR VISHWAJEET
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

Encl:- (Annexure I to IV)
To
Sri T.T. Prabhakar Babu, (f) SDPO, Tirumala, now Addl. SP(Civil) on leave through the DGP, AP, Mangalagiri.
Copy to:-
The DGP, AP, Mangalagiri (with a request to cause its service on the individual and return the dated acknowledgement copy to the same).
The PS to Principal Secretary to Government, Home Department.
The OSD to Hon'ble Min (Home).
SF/SC.

// FORWARDED:: BY ORDER //

SECTION OFFICER

ANNEXURE-I

STATEMENT OF ARTICLES OF CHARGE FRAMED AGAINST SRI T.T.PRABHAKAR BABU, (F) SDPO, TIRUMALA, NOW ADDL.SP(CIVIL) ON LEAVE

Sri T.T.PrabhakarBabu, Addl.SP (Civil), presently working in Tech Services, had earlier worked as SDPO, Tirumala from 10-08-2019 to 30-11-2020. He is herein after called as Charged Officer. During his tenure as SDPO, Tirumala, he has exhibited gross misconduct after misusing his official position and he has also shown indecent behavior, there by contravening Rule 3 of APCS (Conduct) Rules, 1964.

KUMAR VISHWAJEET
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

ANNEXURE-II

STATEMENT OF IMPUTATIONS FRAMED AGAINST SRI T.T.PRABHAKAR BABU, (F) SDPO, TIRUMALA, NOW ADDL.SP(CIVIL) ON LEAVE.

BASIS OF CHARGE:-

Allegation-I

The charged officer had reared a rooster in his transit accommodation building, which was provided by TTD. The office building is located amidst cottages being allotted to the pilgrims. Raising roosters in such a place is not appropriate and it will definitely cause inconvenience to others/pilgrims. Being a responsible police officer, the charged officer shouldn't have resorted to such activities. The relevant witnesses have stated that they faced much inconvenience and they were unable to get sleep/rest during nights in the office provided by TTD due to howls by that rooster and smell emanating from the excreta discharged by that rooster. Those witnesses stated that on the instructions of the charged officer, they have attended the work of cleaning, bathing and feeding that rooster.

Allegation-II

Sri V.Bulokeshwara Rao, PC.1063 and Sri R.Gangadharam,HG 325 have given statements mentioning that they have mentally suffered on account of the attitude and behavior of the charged officer. Sri V.Bulokeshwara Rao stated that he requested the charged officer to send him back to station duty. Keeping the same in his mind, the charged officer sent up a report against him and confirmed punishment for him in the MPR. He made appeal to the SP, Tirupati, UPD and got the punishment set aside. Subsequently, he was taken to perform duty in the O/o Addl. SP, Tirumala. While he was performing duty in the O/o Addl. SP, Tirumala, a report was sent against him by the charged officer with a request to transfer him to Tirupati from Tirumala stating that he was long standing in Tirumala. Sri R.Gangadharam, HG.325 has also stated that he abstained from attending duty at the house of SDPO, Tirumala on account of the indifferent attitude and behavior of the Charged officer and report showing him absent from duty was sent against him. After some days, he reported for duty in the Headquarters and he was allotted to the O/o Addl. SP, Tirumala. At that time, the charged officer threatened him that he would see how he could perform duty in Tirumala and he would send him to Tirupati.

(P.T.O)

Allegation-III

The charged officer took up investigation of Cr.No.59/2018 u/s 409 & 420 IPC r/w 34 IPC of Tirumala I town PS,on 17-8-2019, verified the investigation done by his predecessors, added 40 new accused persons including TTD employees/others including the Dy.E.O. (Temple). During the period of investigation of this case by the charged officer from 17-8-2019 to 31-12-2021, he neither examined any witness nor collected any document. He only prepared routine gap CDs and tagged the same in the CD file. The charged officer simply added the names of 40 new accused persons without collecting any prima facie material to prove the offence against them and he did not arrest any one of the newly added 40 accused persons. The charged officer has not done proper investigation in this case but also kept this case pending for investigation on account of the reasons best known to him.

Allegation-IV

As per the statement given by Sri M.Bhaskar, milk vendor, he used to supply required milk to the house of the charged officer. As bills pertaining to nearly 9 months of milk supply was due from the house of the charged officer, he refused to supply milk packets to the house of the charged officer any longer. Aggrieved with such refusal, the charged officer came to Varahaswamy Guest House area on 06-04-2021, yelled at him for not supplying milk to his house and got imposed Rs.2,000/- fine on his milk supplying vehicle through local police. When examined, Smt M.Manjula, Sub-Inspector of Police, Tirumala II town PS who imposed fine of Rs.2,000/- on the vehicle of the above witness stated that she had imposed the fine on that vehicle as per the instructions of the charged officer. The challan receipt showing the fine imposed on the vehicle of milk vendor Sri M.Bhaskar is on record.

Allegation-V

5. The charged officer raised serious allegations against his vehicle driver (Home Guard) viz. he had attempted to kill him by driving the vehicle in a rash and negligent manner when his vehicle met with accident on down ghat road, which is the subject matter of case vide Cr.No.04/2021 u/s 337 & 279 IPC of Traffic PS, Tirumala. The MTO, Tirumala has submitted a report against the charged officer divulging his attitude towards them.

As per the statement given by Sri N.Kiran Kumar, HG, the vehicle was used continuously from 2-30 AM on 26-01-2021 by the charged officer for travelling in Tirumala and for transporting his family members from Tirupati to Tirumala. As a result, the vehicle got over-heated and the charged officer instructed him to go fast and facilitate him to reach

(Contd..,3)

Republic day function being celebrated at AR grounds, Tirupati before its conclusion. While he was driving the vehicle and coming from Tirumala to Tirupati with the charged officer and his family members, the vehicle broke down after crossing Vinayakaswamy temple but he controlled the vehicle and got the vehicle stopped on the road after hitting parapet wall. On this incident, the charged officer raised serious allegations against the driver Home Guard viz. the Home guard had driven the vehicle in a rash and negligent manner and attempted to kill him. All the drivers deputed to work in the vehicle of the charged officer made complaints about the indifferent and merciless attitude and behavior of the charged officer and requested the MTO Sri K.Reddeppa Reddy not to depute them again as driver of the vehicle of charged officer. In this connection, after obtaining the statements from the victim drivers, the MTO Sri K.Reddeppa Reddy had submitted a report (Annexure-XV) to the SP, UPD, Tirupati for information and necessary action. The report sent by MTO Sri K.Reddeppa Reddy is on record.

Allegation-VI

The charged officer addressed a letter to the Dy.E.O. (Temple) vide C.No.04/Genl/ Addl.SP-TML(L & O)/2022 dt.8-3-2022 requesting him to provide the list of all Harathi points in Mada Streets and the list of persons allowed to the harathi points without the knowledge/intimation to his superior officers and upon this, a memo was issued to him by the SP, UPD, Tirupati calling his explanation for his insubordination and inappropriate correspondence.

Allegation-VII

As per that CD file, the IO/charged officer has examined and recorded the statement of only one witness i.e., the complainant during the 60 days of investigation. Further, he prepared routine gap CDs without making any progress in the investigation of this case. The IO/charged officer has not examined any other relevant witness. The IO/charged officer has failed to collect medical certificate regarding alleged assault on the complainant by A-1. At the time of completion of 60 days period, he has submitted a letter to the SP, Tirupati stating that he could not complete investigation due to non-availability of witnesses.

Thus, the charged officer alleged to have exhibited gross misconduct in misusing his official position and he exhibited indecent behavior contravening Rule 3 of APCS (Conduct) Rules, 1964.

KUMAR VISHWAJEET
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

ANNEXURE –III

LIST OF DOCUMENTS BY WHICH ARTICLES OF CHARGE FRAMED AGAINST SRI T.T.PRABHAKAR BABU, (F) SDPO, TIRUMALA NOW ADDL.SP(CIVIL) ON LEAVE.

1)Preliminary Enquiry Report dated 31-11-2022 of Smt. E. Supraja, SP (NC), ACB
(f) Addl.SP (Admin), Tirupati.

2)Statement of Sri R. Gangadharam, 33 yrs, S/o Late R. Venkataramana, Chikkavaripalli, ChowdepalliMandal working as Homegaurd 325 of Tirupati Unit.

3)Statement of Sri V. BhulokeswaraRao, PC 1063/TU now working at Srikakulam District formerly of Tirupati District.

4)Statement of Sri P.Mownu Kumar Reddy, ARPC 1994, A.R Tirupati.

5)Statement of Sri G.Sudheer, ARPC 1915, DAR, Tirupati.

6)Statement of Sri N. Kiran Kumar, HG 1112, Tirupati Unit.

7)Statement of Sri M .Bhaskar, 50 yrs, S/o Munaswamy, D.No.19-12-454, Bairagipatteda, Tirupati.

8)Statement of Smt. M.Manjula, SI of Police now working in CID, A.P (f) Tirumala II Town PS.

9)Xerox copy of letter addressed by SDPO, Tirumala to APP, II AJCJ Court, Tirupati.

10)Xerox copy of challan receipt No.AP21040627570015, dated 06-04-2021.

11)Xerox copy of letter addressed to the SP,Tirupati by Addl.SP, Tirumala dated 16-08-2021.

12)Copy of memo vide C.No.B2/22/MTP/2021, dated 27-08-2021 of SP, Tirupati.

13)Copy of letter addressed to Dy. EO (temple), TTD, Tirumala.

14)Copy of memo in c.No.33/SP-CO/UPD-TPT/2022, dated 21-03-2022.

15)Copy of report of Sri K.Reddappa Reddy, RI, MTO, Tirupati.

16)Copy of report of Addl.SP (Admin) in C.No.06/Crimes/Addl.SP(A)/ TPT/2022, dated 03-03-2022.

Any other document/documents will be filed on behalf of prosecution during the course of oral enquiry, if found necessary.

KUMAR VISHWAJEET
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

ANNEXURE –IV

LIST OF WITNESSES BY WHICH ARTICLES OF CHARGE FRAMED AGAINST SRI T.T.PRABHAKAR BABU, (F) SDPO, TIRUMALA NOW ADDL.SP(CIVIL) ON LEAVE.

1) Smt. E. Supraja, SP (NC), ACB (f) Addl.SP (Admin), Tirupati.
2) Sri R. Gangadharam, 33 yrs, S/o Late R. Venkataramana, Chikkavaripalli, ChowdepalliMandal working as Homegaurd 325 of Tirupati Unit.
3) Sri V. BhulokeswaraRao, PC 1063/TU now working at Srikakulam District formerly of Tirupati District.
4) Sri P.Mownu Kumar Reddy, ARPC 1994, A.R Tirupati.
5) Sri G.Sudheer, ARPC 1915, DAR, Tirupati.
6) Sri N. Kiran Kumar, HG 1112, Tirupati Unit.
7) Sri M .Bhaskar, 50 yrs, S/o Munaswamy, D.No.19-12-454, Bairagipatteda, Tirupati.
8) Smt. M.Manjula, SI of Police now working in CID, A.P (f) Tirumala II Town PS.

Any other witness/witnesses will be examined on behalf of prosecution during the course of oral enquiry if found necessary.

KUMAR VISHWAJEET
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT